In The Matter Of:

Hutchison v. Texas County

Seth Walker May 26, 2010

Alpha Reporting Service 3230-G South National Springfield, MO 65807 417-887-4110 or 866-991-7787 417-889-4246 (fax)



To open files, click on the desired file type in bookmark on left. For quick saving or searching multiple files, click attachments tab (or paperclip) on left. For best viewing/searching, use Adobe Reader/Acrobat ver. 9 or higher (www.adobe.com).



Tex	xas	County			May 26, 20	10
		Page 29	Ī		Page 3	1
1		probably four years as a supervisor.	1		would.	
2		Okay. Are you aware of any occasions when			Okay. And that would assuming that there	
3	_	Mike Hood responded to calls placed by	3	٧.	wasn't another more pressing call	
4		Monica Daniel seeking assistance?		Α.	Yeah, absolutely.	
5		I didn't see it. I've heard about it.			that required your attention, it was the	ĺ
6		You are aware of an occasion when he was	6	ų.	practice	
7	_	called to her house?		Α.	Are you saying if the person was in the	
8		I've heard about it.	8		vehicle?	
9		What did you hear?	وا	ο.	Right.	
10	_	I heard that she called them because		-	Oh, yeah, absolutely.	
11		Mike Anderson was over there knocking on the	11		MR. GAUNT: Absolutely, I'm not sure	
12		door or something like that. And I guess he	12		that answer's clear.	
13		went over there and Mike Anderson was	13	Α.	You asked if you received a call that a	
14		already gone or something of that nature.	14		person was intoxicated and you said that a	
15	Q.	Okay. Did you ever discuss that incident	15		location	
16	Ī	where Mr. Anderson went over to	16	Q.	(By Mr. Franklin) Right, at a location and	İ
17		Monica Daniel's house and knocked on the	17	_	you drove up and saw that person in the	
18		door, did you ever discuss that incident	18		vehicle or attempting to get into the	
19		with Monica Daniel?	19		vehicle.	
20	Α.	No.	20	A.	Oh, yes. Absolutely.	
21	Q.	Do you know who Millie Williams is?	21	Q.	Okay. So you would ask to conduct some type	
22	Α.	I've heard the name Millie. Maybe if I seen	22		of field sobriety test and that's just	
23		her face.	23		general best practice; right?	Ì
24	Q.	Okay. And I understand that you haven't	24	A.	Absolutely.	
25		been employed in Licking for a while so your	25	\circ	Okay. Now, would that operating procedure	
		been employed in Elexing for a write 30 your		Q.	okay. Now, would that operating procedure	- 1
				Q.		
		Page 30		· ·	Page 3	2
1			1	· ·		2
		Page 30		· Q.	Page 3	2
1	Α.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and	1	· ·	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing	2
1 2		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there.	1 2 3 4	Α.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes.	2
1 2 3		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it	1 2 3 4	Α.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being	2
1 2 3 4		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily?	2
1 2 3 4 5		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right.	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	Page 3 be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety.	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Page 30 recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination?	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little town there's one officer who works a shift	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination? Yeah. Probably at that point if they were	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little town there's one officer who works a shift at a time and if there's a million things	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination? Yeah. Probably at that point if they were walking out to a vehicle it wouldn't be a	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little town there's one officer who works a shift at a time and if there's a million things going on at once or if you're on if you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right. of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination? Yeah. Probably at that point if they were walking out to a vehicle it wouldn't be a situation probably an arrest but more like,	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little town there's one officer who works a shift at a time and if there's a million things going on at once or if you're on if you get called to something like that and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination? Yeah. Probably at that point if they were walking out to a vehicle it wouldn't be a situation probably an arrest but more like, you're not going to drive.	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	recollection of local policies and procedures might not be Right. I didn't pay a whole lot of attention to them when I was there. Fair enough. If you receive was it standard practice in the Licking Police Department received a call that someone was intoxicated and in a vehicle, was it standard procedure if that person was present when a car arrived to do some type of a field sobriety check or determine whether that driver was impaired? Well, it would depend. I don't believe there's any set policy. Okay. That would depend on if you have enough of a driving case for criminal prosecution. Okay. Or the circumstances. In that small little town there's one officer who works a shift at a time and if there's a million things going on at once or if you're on if you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A.	be different if you just got a call hearing that someone was being obnoxious as opposed to someone that was visibly inebriated? Yes. Okay. So if someone was just being obnoxious, you wouldn't necessarily? Yeah. I mean, you'd still respond Right of course, but I mean, if you didn't have any signs that they were intoxicated, then you wouldn't have any reason to do field sobriety. Right. And then the kind of the last follow-up would be notwithstanding the nature of the report, if you drove to a scene and saw someone stumbling out to their car and acting in an erratic fashion, that would be an appropriate time to conduct a field sobriety examination? Yeah. Probably at that point if they were walking out to a vehicle it wouldn't be a situation probably an arrest but more like, you're not going to drive.	2

Te	xas	County				Ma	y 26, 2010
	•		Page 45				Page 47
1	Α.	I know that I've never talked to Mike Hood		1	Ο.	Yeah.	
2		about it or that I can remember, anyway. At			-	Yeah. I mean, at that point I think	
3		that time I wasn't his supervisor I don't		1		Make sure that he was okay to drive; right?	
4		believe.		1	_	Well, if I'd received a call of that, then I	
5	0.	If Mr. Anderson was I'm asking you to		5		would probably go ahead and stop him no	
6	-	assume this for purposes of this question.		6		matter whether he was going to drive or not	
7		If Mr. Anderson was suspected of being		7			•
8		intoxicated at the time of this phone call		8	٧.	would be to follow him for a bit to make	
9		and the phone caller reported that he was		وا		sure he was good to drive; right?	
10		outside of her house drunk and a Licking				Yeah, I suppose. I can't imagine a scenario	
11		Police Officer responded to the scene as		11		where you wouldn't stop him to investigate	
12		requested, it would be a breech of standard		12		the complaint but	
13		procedure to just let Mr. Anderson drive off				Okay. That's kind of hard for you to	
14		if he was still at the house or in his		14	Q.	•	
15		vehicle when the officer arrived; correct?		1	۸	imagine that Yeah.	
		Yes.					
1					Q.	they wouldn't stop him and do a field	
18	Q.	Should have done a field sobriety test if he was in the car?		17		sobriety test? Okay. Have you ever known	
i				18		Mike Anderson to drink to the point of	
	Α.	I would have. I mean, you know, that's a		19		intoxication?	-
20		very broad statement that an officer while			Α.	I've seen Mr. Anderson what I would believe	
21		he's on patrol by himself can observe things		21		intoxicated probably on two different	
22		in a lot different ways and the facts are		22	_	occasions.	
23		presented and, of course, you're always		l	Q.	Tell me about those occasions. When and	
24		worried about getting sued from a hundred		24		where?	
25		different angles, no matter whether it's the		25	Α.	When I don't know. One time was in deer	
			Page 46			·	Page 48
1		county prosecutor there or if it's anybody		1		season. It was at a deer camp cookout-type	
2		else. So, I mean, to say that there's a		2		thing. I think he was intoxicated then.	
3		standard operating procedure for that		3		Another time was in the summertime and it	
4		scenario, there's not. But just in those		4		was at Boiling Springs at a river access.	
5		facts if you drive by and you see somebody		5		There was a little gathering there.	
6		who appears to be intoxicated, at that point		6	Q.	That's the only times that you're aware of?	
7		you don't have a right to arrest them but,			_	Yeah. Whenever I was an officer in Licking	
8		you know, contact them just for well being		8		I seen his vehicle at a lot of the I	
9		of that person.		9		think there was two at that time, two of the	
10	Q.	And let me make my hypothetical a little bit		10		bars in town.	
11	٠	clearer. I'm assuming in my hypothetical			O.	You saw his vehicle frequently at the bars	
12		that in the call for assistance the person		12	٧.	when you were on patrol?	
13		calling indicated that they believe this			Α.	I wouldn't say frequently. Sometimes.	
14		person was intoxicated.		14		How often are we talking about by sometimes	:2
15	Α.	Yeah. I mean, at any time if I received a			_	That I couldn't say with certainty.	
16		call for assistance basically trespass or				Couple times a month?	
17		harassment whatever scenario, yeah, I would			-	No, no. Probably the entire time I worked	
18		contact the people.		18	۸.	there probably four to five times.	
	0	And if Mr. Anderson was still in his vehicle		19	\cap	Okay. And those two bars would be what?	
20	٧.	under these circumstances and you didn't do		20	ų.	Sheila's Bar would be one of them?	
21		the field sobriety test, would you follow			Λ		
22		him to observe his driving?			Λ.	Sheila's Bar which is outside of town so I	
	٨	¬		22		didn't patrol that area, but the other one,	
		If he was parked?		23	^	I think it's called	
24		You'd gotten this call, you show up			_	The Outback?	ļ
∡⊃	۸.	If he's driving down the road?		45	Α.	Yeah, Outback. And the reason why that	1

	Aus	County				IVIAY 2	6, 2010
			Page 49			F	age 51
1	L	stood out to me I just remember driving by,		1		MR. GAUNT: Okay. I have no further	•
2	?	which there's roads all the way around it,		2		questions.	
3		because I remember seeing I think at that		3		MR. HARRIS: Mr. Walker, I've got just a	
4		time he drove an Explorer. I don't know		4		few follow-ups.	
5		what he drives now. I remember thinking		5		EXAMINATION	
6		looks real good, the prosecutor's here.		6		BY MR. HARRIS:	
7		And that was at the Outback when you seen			^		
8		him because that was on your patrol?		7	Q.	Your encounter with Ms. Daniel, you said you believed it was after she left the	
9		Uh-huh.		8			
-				9		prosecuting attorney's office?	
10	•	You don't know how often				Yes.	
		One other time one of the people, I think it			Q.	Do you recall how long after it would have	
12		was at Sheila's Bar had it was later in		12		been?	
13		the week that they sad said some type of			Α.	I want to say I remember it was warm	
14		story about him having a wreck in the		14		outside but	
15		parking lot as he left or something like		1		Okay.	
16		that.		1		I don't know for certain.	
17	Q.	You heard from somebody that Mr. Anderson		17	Q.	So it's your recollection that it was at	
18		was intoxicated and got in a wreck at		18		least warm out?	
19		Sheila's Bar?		19	Α.	Yeah.	
20	Α.	Yeah.		20	Q.	Okay.	
21	Q.	Do you know who told you that?		21	Α.	I drank a lot then.	
22	Α.	No, I don't have a clue.		22	Q.	I understand. And you say you don't recall	
23	Q.	Do you recall when you were told that you		23		who told you about the incident at Monica's	
24		were still at the police department?		24		house with Mr. Anderson?	
25	Α.	Yeah.		25	A.	I can't remember if I read that somewhere or	
_							
			Page 50			P	age 52
1	_						1
2	Q.	Do you recall if it was before or after he		1		if somebody told me about it.	
		Do you recall if it was before or after he filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie?			Q.	if somebody told me about it. All right. And as I understand it there was	
3		filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie?			Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was	
3	Α.			2	Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's	
4	Α.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain.		2 3 4	_	All right. And as I understand it there was	
4 5	A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay.		2 3 4 5	Α.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes.	
4 5 6	A. Q. A.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005?		2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer?	
4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006,		2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always	
4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there.	
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of	
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out there?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is? I think that the sign says somewhere around	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out there? And I should probably specify. I didn't run		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is? I think that the sign says somewhere around 3,000, but that's counting the population of	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out there? And I should probably specify. I didn't run his license plates, I didn't see him, I		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is? I think that the sign says somewhere around 3,000, but that's counting the population of the Department of Corrections so I want to	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out there? And I should probably specify. I didn't run his license plates, I didn't see him, I couldn't swear that it was him. I should		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is? I think that the sign says somewhere around 3,000, but that's counting the population of the Department of Corrections so I want to say it's like a thousand people.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	filed his lawsuit against Monica and Millie? I would to say it was before but I don't know for certain. Okay. That was in 2005? He filed his lawsuit in May of 2006, May 31st, 2006. The incident at the house was December of 2005, December 18th. Yeah. I don't know. Okay. Now, Sheila's Bar is outside your town and not a place that you drove by on your patrol regularly; is that correct? Not regularly. I did sometimes. And you can just testify as to what you saw when you were going by on your patrol. You don't know if he was there other times when you weren't on patrol or other times at Sheila's Bar when nobody was patrolling out there? And I should probably specify. I didn't run his license plates, I didn't see him, I		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	All right. And as I understand it there was a period of time you were Mike Hood's supervisor? Yes. Was he a good officer? He showed up at work on time. He was always there. Did you have a protocol in place if one of the officers that was on duty as you said the officers were on duty solely one officer at a time; is that right? Most of the time. Early on whenever I worked there at some point there would be two officers on kind of overlapping shifts in the evening. How big is Licking? It's tiny. You got any idea what the population is? I think that the sign says somewhere around 3,000, but that's counting the population of the Department of Corrections so I want to	

1 e	xas	County				May	26, 2010
			Page 53				Page 55
1		officer, were you intimidated by		1		there.	
2		Mike Anderson?		2			
3	Α.	One time I thought he said something kind of		3	•	never had a situation where you had to stop	
4		strange to me and I remember it was at the		4		him or anything like that?	
5		Licking rodeo. And I don't remember what				No.	
6		year it was. I remember Danny was still		6		If you as an officer for the City of Licking	
7		employed there, but I had applied for the		7		had received a report that Mike Anderson was	
8		highway patrol at that point. And		8		threatening somebody, would you have taken	
9		Mike Anderson walked by and said hello and		وا		action on that or would you have	
10		we were always professional at that point,			٨	Yeah.	
11		still are now. And he said, "I heard you				Mr. Gaunt read to you some these allegations	
12		applied for the highway patrol." I said		12	Q.	out of the petition and he used the one	
13		yes. And he said, "Huh" and kind of had a		İ			
14				13		about a swinger style sex ring. In your	
		strange look at on his face and said, "Good luck with that."		14	٨	opinion what does that mean?	
15	^			i	Α.	, 3	
16	_	You just took it as kind of strange?		16		to have multiple couples engage in sex	
- 1		Yeah. I thought it was really strange.		17	_	trading partners type of thing.	
	Ų.	Well, let me ask you this: The reason I ask		18	Q.		
19		the question, when you were a Licking Police		19		about with them about where you and Danny	
20		Officer, if you'd seen Mike Anderson driving		20		and the other woman and Monica went to	
21		intoxicated, would you have any hesitation		21		Rolla, were you drinking on that occasion as	
22		to arrest him?		22		well?	
23		Yes.			Α.	,	
24	_	You would have had hesitation to arrest him?		24		specifically but	
25	Α.	Absolutely.		25	Q.	Do you have a recollection of mooning one of	
			Page 54			· 	Page 56
1	ο.	Why?		1		the other officers for the City of the	
2	_	Because he's the county prosecutor.		2		Licking on that occasion?	
3		What would you have done?			Δ	Yeah. Yeah, I do.	
1	_	It's hard to say in that scenario. I guess				Did you do that?	
5		it would just be I mean, I know my duty				Yes.	
6		would be to arrest him and I'm not saying				All right.	
7		that I wouldn't. Would I have hesitation?				Several times. He was deaf or he couldn't	
8		Absolutely. You catch enough shit off		8	Λ.	hear really well so he was parked on a	
9		arresting some moron that whenever you					
10		arrest an attorney, and not just an attorney		9		parking lot reading a newspaper and we	
11		but a prosecutor, oh, yeah, it's not going		10		stopped in front of, him honked the horn	
12				11		and yeah. He never realized we were	
		to be a fun day. It puts you in a real bad		12	_	there.	
13	^	spot.		13	Ų.	. , ,	
14	Q.	As a Licking Police Officer did you have the		14		evening?	ļ
15		ability to call for assistance from either				Stopped us? Not that I remember.	
16		the sheriff's department or the highway			_	Okay.	
17		patrol?				I don't think so.	
18	Α.	Oh, you could call. They're not under				Do you recall the name of that officer?	
19		normal circumstances there's not going to be			Α.	Ryan Anderson.	
20	_	anybody there for 15 minutes.		20		MR. GAUNT: Ryan or Brian?	
21	Q.	Did you ever have any encounter with		21		THE WITNESS: Ryan.	
22		Mr. Anderson while you were on duty as an		22		MR. HARRIS: Okay. I think that's all	}
23		officer of Licking?		23		the questions I have for you, sir.	
24	A.	No. Other than court, no. I mean when I		24		MR. FRANKLIN: I just have a couple real	İ
25		say on duty in court we were paid to be		25		short follow-ups.	